

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,500	04/30/2001	William Grey	I01.019	2096
	90 03/21/2003 MASCHOFF TALWA			
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR, & ALLISON 5 ELM STREET NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			EXAMINER	
			TREMBLAY, MARK STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2027	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
OFFICE A ST.		09/845,500	GREY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark Tremblay	2876	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u>		— · is action is non-final.		
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	L		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	mom consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application	on Papers	ocodon requirement.		
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)[T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🔲 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
]All b)☐ Some * c)⊡ None of:		() ()	
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	nriority under 35 H.S.C. &		
a)	∐ The translation of the foreign language prov knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been	n received.	
		p=====		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ttion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.	04.04)	on Summary	Part of Danar No. 0249	

Serial Number: 09/845,500 Paper #0318, Page 2

Applicant: Grey et al. Filing date: 4/30/2001

5

10

15

20

25

30

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5, 8-13, 17, 21-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Re claims 5, 8-13, 17, 21-23 and 28 the claims are indefinite because they recite numerous non-equivalent alternatives.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 8, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent #5,401,96 to Fisun et al. ("Fisun" hereinafter). Fisun discloses an item, comprising: an item surface 15; and

a plurality of machine-readable item codes 5, 6 printed on the item surface, at least one of the item codes 6 being associated with the item and being substantially invisible to a human.

Claims 1, 4, 8-15, 23-25, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent # 6,039,257 to Berson et al. ("Berson" hereinafter). Berson discloses an item, comprising:

an item surface 12; and

a plurality of machine-readable item codes 18, 31 printed on the item surface, at least one of the item codes 31 being associated with the item and being substantially invisible to a human.

Serial Number: 09/845,500

Paper #0318, Page 3

Re claim 14, any two areas can be considered distinct. For example, in this sentence the letters "x" and "a" in the second word are printed in distinct areas.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent #5,498,305 to Mailloux.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent #5,522,623 to Soules et al.

10

15

5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

25

30

20

Claims 2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as anticipated by, or alternatively, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Berson in view of the skilled artisan's knowledge about PDF 417, as exemplified in "The Bar Code Book" by Roger C. Palmer ("Palmer" hereinafter). Berson discloses the features of the invention as described above, but fails to teach much about PDF 417. PDF is a standard two dimensional bar code, invented by Symbol Technologies more than a decade ago, and well known in the art. Palmer provides

Serial Number: 09/845,500

Paper #0318, Page 4

textbook information about PDF 417. Since PDF 417 is a specific standard, all standard information about PDF 417 is either incorporated by reference and/or inherent in Berson, in which case 35 U.S.C. §102 applies, or alternatively obvious in view of the standard information about PDF 417. Berson provides information about PDF 417. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Berson which mention PDF 417, with standard detailed information about PDF 417 because the skilled artisan would be motivated by the reference to PDF 417 to seek information about the PDF 417, and combine it with Berson.

Re claim 2, it is clear from claims 5-6 that Applicant has an expansive definition of "item code". As such, each of the codes in the PDF 417 can be considered alone or in combination with other codes. Since the claim is written as "comprising", it matters not that there is visible information in the exemplary embodiment of Berson, when in fact, Berson comprises a plurality of item codes 31, all of which are invisible.

Re claims 5-6, it is clear that PDF 417 may contain numerous data items in different rows. These numerous items can be anything the artisan wants, including the numerous items taught in Berson. The PDF 417 code contains metadata, or data about the data, telling the reader how to assemble the data, and decode it. It includes start codes, stop codes, left row indicators, right row indicators, Global Label identifiers, error detection and correction codes, and characters which define the total number of characters in the data region. As a whole, this constitutes metadata, which is used to tell the reader how to find where the data is positioned, how much data there is, etc.

Claims 20-22, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent #5,489,158 to Wang et al. ("Wang" hereinafter) in view of Berson. Wang teaches the basic features of the claimed invention, but does not teach that the code can be invisible. Berson teaches the printing of invisible PDF 417 for security. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to print the PDF 417 taught by Wang using invisible ink as taught by Berson, because this would increase the security of the documents contemplated by Wang.

20

25

15

5

10

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent #6,138,913 to Cyr et al. and U. S. Patent #5,693,693 to Auslander et al. is cited for showing another invisible coding system for ID cards.
- U. S. Patent #5,532,104 to Goto is cited for showing another invisible information recording system which may be applied to cards or other articles.
- U. S. Patent #3,919,447 to Kilmer, Jr. et al. is cited for showing background on invisible inks used on ID cards.

10

15

5

Voice

Inquiries for the Examiner should be directed to Mark Tremblay at (703) 305-5176. The Examiner's regular office hours are 10:30 am to 7:00 pm EST Monday to Friday. Voice mail is available. If Applicant has trouble contacting the Examiner, the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Michael Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. Technical questions and comments concerning PTO procedures may be directed to the Patent Assistance Center hotline at 1-800-786-9199 or (703) 308-4357.

20

MARK TREMBLAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 18, 2003